

ON THE NOTION OF LOWER CENTRAL SERIES FOR LOOPS

JACOB MOSTOVOY

The commutator calculus is one of the basic tools in group theory. However, its extension to the non-associative context, based on the usual definition of the lower central series of a loop, is not entirely satisfactory. Namely, the graded abelian group associated to the lower central series of a loop is not known to carry any interesting algebraic structure. In this note we construct a new generalization of the lower central series to arbitrary loops that is tailored to produce a set of multilinear operations on the associated graded group.

The lower central series. Let N be a normal subloop of a loop L . There exists a unique smallest normal subloop M of L such that N/M is contained in the centre of the loop L/M , that is, all elements of N/M commute and associate with all elements of L/M . This subloop M is denoted by $[N, L]$. The lower central series of L is defined by setting $\gamma_1 L = L$ and $\gamma_{i+1} L = [\gamma_i L, L]$ for $i \geq 1$. This definition can be found in [1]. For L associative it coincides with the usual definition of the lower central series.

The terms of the lower central series of L are fully invariant normal subloops of L and the successive quotients $\gamma_i L / \gamma_{i+1} L$ are abelian groups. If L is a group, the commutator operation on L induces a bilinear operation (Lie bracket) on the associated graded group $\oplus \gamma_i L / \gamma_{i+1} L$; this Lie bracket is compatible with the grading. (See Chapter 5 of [4] for a classical account of the commutator calculus in groups.) In general, however, there is no obvious algebraic structure on $\oplus \gamma_i L / \gamma_{i+1} L$.

Commutators, associators and associator deviations. Here we introduce some terminology. The definitions of this paragraph are valid for L a left quasigroup, that is, a halfquasigroup with left division.

Define the commutator of two elements a, b in L as

$$[a, b] := (ba) \setminus (ab),$$

and the associator of three elements a, b, c in L as

$$(a, b, c) := (a(bc)) \setminus ((ab)c).$$

The failure of the associator to be distributive in each variable is measured by three operations that we call *associator deviations* or simply *deviations*. These are defined as follows:

$$(a, b, c, d)_1 := ((a, c, d)(b, c, d)) \setminus (ab, c, d),$$

$$(a, b, c, d)_2 := ((a, b, d)(a, c, d)) \setminus (a, bc, d),$$

$$(a, b, c, d)_3 := ((a, b, c)(a, b, d)) \setminus (a, b, cd).$$

The deviations themselves are not necessarily distributive and their failure to be distributive is measured by the *deviations of the second level*. The general definition of a deviation of n th level is as follows. Given a positive integer n and an ordered

set $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ of not necessarily distinct integers satisfying $1 \leq \alpha_k \leq k + 2$, the deviation $(a_1, \dots, a_{n+3})_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n}$ is a function $L^{n+3} \rightarrow L$ defined inductively by

$$(a_1, \dots, a_{n+3})_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n} := (A(a_{\alpha_n})A(a_{\alpha_n+1})) \setminus A(a_{\alpha_n} a_{\alpha_n+1}),$$

where $A(x)$ stands for $(a_1, \dots, a_{\alpha_n-1}, x, a_{\alpha_n+2}, \dots, a_{n+3})_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}}$. The integer n is called the *level* of the deviation. There are $(n+2)!/2$ deviations of level n . The associators are the deviations of level zero and the associator deviations are the deviations of level one.

The commutator-associator filtration. Let us explain informally our approach to generalizing the lower central series to loops. We want to construct a filtration by normal subloops L_i ($i \geq 1$) on an arbitrary loop L with the following properties:

- (a) the subloops L_i are fully invariant, that is, preserved by all automorphisms of L ;
- (b) the quotients L_i/L_{i+1} are abelian for all i ;
- (c) the commutator and the associator in L induce well-defined operations on the associated graded group $\oplus L_i/L_{i+1}$; these operations should be linear in each argument and should respect the grading.

Clearly, we also want the filtration L_i to coincide with the lower central series for groups.

A naïve method of constructing such a filtration would be setting $L_1 = L$ and taking L_i to be the subloop normally generated by

- (a) commutators of the form $[a, b]$ with $a \in L_p$ and $b \in L_q$ with $p + q \geq i$,
- (b) associators of the form (a, b, c) with $a \in L_p$, $b \in L_q$, and $c \in L_r$ with $p + q + r \geq i$.

The subloops L_i constructed in this way are fully invariant in L and the quotients L_i/L_{i+1} are abelian groups. It can be seen that the commutator on L induces a bilinear operation on the associated graded group. However, the associator does not descend to a trilinear operation on $\oplus L_i/L_{i+1}$. The situation can be mended by adding to the generators of L_i for all i every element of the form $(a, b, c, d)_\alpha$ with $a \in L_p$, $b \in L_q$, $c \in L_r$ and $d \in L_s$, where $p + q + r + s \geq i$ and $1 \leq \alpha \leq 3$. This forces the associator to be trilinear on $\oplus L_i/L_{i+1}$, but now we are faced with a new problem. Having introduced the deviations into the game, we would like them to behave in some sense like commutators and associators, namely, to induce multilinear operations that respect the grading on $\oplus L_i/L_{i+1}$. This requires adding deviations of the second level to the generators of the L_i , etc.

The above reasoning is summarised in the following

Definition. For a positive integer i , the i th commutator-associator subloop L_i of a loop L is L itself if $i = 1$, and is the subloop normally generated by

- (a) $[L_p, L_q]$ with $p + q \geq i$,
- (b) (L_p, L_q, L_r) with $p + q + r \geq i$,
- (c) $(L_{p_1}, \dots, L_{p_n})_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n}$ with $p_1 + \dots + p_n \geq i$ for all possible choices of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$.

We refer to the filtration by commutator-associator subloops as the *commutator-associator filtration*¹. In our terminology the usual commutator-associator subloop

¹for the lack of better name.

becomes the “second commutator-associator subloop”. By virtue of its construction, the commutator-associator filtration has the desired properties: the L_i are fully invariant and normal, the quotients L_i/L_{i+1} are abelian, and the associator and the deviations induce multilinear operations on the associated graded group. The bilinearity of the commutator is also readily seen. Indeed, take a, b in L_p and c in L_q . Modulo L_{p+q+1} , the commutators $[a, c]$ and $[b, c]$ commute and associate with all elements of L . Also, any associator that involves one element of L_p , one element of L_q and any element of L , is trivial modulo L_{p+q+1} . Hence,

$$a(cb) \cdot [b, c] \equiv a(bc) \equiv (ab)c$$

and

$$c(ab) \cdot [a, c] \equiv (ca)b \cdot [a, c] \equiv (ca \cdot [a, c])b \equiv (ac)b \equiv a(cb)$$

modulo L_{p+q+1} . Therefore we have

$$(c(ab) \cdot [a, c])[b, c] \equiv (ab)c \pmod{L_{p+q+1}}$$

and it follows that

$$[a, c][b, c] \equiv [ab, c] \pmod{L_{p+q+1}}.$$

The linearity of the commutator in the second argument is proved in the same manner.

Identifying the algebraic structure on $\oplus L_i/L_{i+1}$ induced by the commutator, the associator and the deviations is a task beyond the scope of the present note. It is rather easy to see that the Akiwis identity

$$\begin{aligned} & [[a, b], c] + [[b, c], a] + [[c, a], b] \\ &= (a, b, c) + (b, c, a) + (c, a, b) - (a, c, b) - (c, b, a) - (b, a, c) \end{aligned}$$

is satisfied in $\oplus L_i/L_{i+1}$ for any L . One should expect that the structure on $\oplus L_i/L_{i+1}$ generalizes Lie rings in the same way as Sabinin algebras² generalize Lie algebras. No axiomatic definition of such a structure is yet known.

The lower central series and the commutator-associator subloops. Let us compare the commutator-associator filtration with the usual lower central series.

It is clear that for any L

$$\gamma_2 L = L_2.$$

More generally, an easy inductive argument establishes that $\gamma_i L$ is contained in L_i for all i . There is, however, no converse to this statement.

Theorem 1. *Let F be a free loop. For any positive integer k the term F_k of the commutator-associator filtration is not contained in $\gamma_3 F$.*

Proof. Let X be a set freely generating the loop F and assume y is in X . Let y^m stand for the right-normed product $(\dots((yy)y)\dots)y$ of m copies of y . We shall prove that for any $i \geq 0$ and any positive m the deviation of i th level $(y^m, y, \dots, y)_{1,1,\dots,1}$ does not belong to $\gamma_3 F$. The machinery suitable for this purpose was developed by Higman in [3].

Let L be a loop and assume there is a surjective homomorphism $p : F \rightarrow L$ with kernel N . Define B to be an additively written free abelian group, with free

²formerly known as hyperalgebras, see [5] and [6].

generators $f(l_1, l_2)$ for all $l_1 \neq 1$ and $l_2 \neq 1$ in L , and $g(x)$ for all x in X . The product set $L \times B$ can be given the structure of a loop setting

$$\begin{aligned} (l_1, b_1)(l_2, b_2) &= (l_1 l_2, b_1 + b_2 + f(l_1, l_2)), \\ (l_1, b_1)/(l_2, b_2) &= (l_1/l_2, b_1 - b_2 - f(l_1/l_2, l_2)), \\ (l_2, b_2) \backslash (l_1, b_1) &= (l_2 \backslash l_1, b_1 - b_2 - f(l_2, l_2 \backslash l_1)), \end{aligned}$$

where $f(l, 1) = f(1, l) = 0$ for all $l \in L$. The pair $(1, 0)$ is the identity. Higman denotes this loop by (L, B) .

There is a homomorphism $\delta : F \rightarrow (L, B)$ defined on the generators by

$$\delta x := (px, g(x)).$$

Higman proved (Lemma 3 of [3]) that the kernel of δ is precisely $[N, F]$.

Without loss of generality we can assume that F is the free loop on one generator y ; take $N = \gamma_2 F$. The quotient loop $L = F/N$ can be identified with the group of integers $\mathbf{Z}$. We shall see that for any positive m the element $\delta(y^m, y, \dots, y)_{1,1,\dots,1}$ is not zero in (L, B) and, hence, that $(y^m, y, \dots, y)_{1,1,\dots,1}$ does not belong to $[N, F] = \gamma_3 F$.

Lemma. *For any $m \geq 1$ and any $n \geq 0$*

$$\delta(y^m, y, \dots, y)_{\underbrace{1,1,\dots,1}_n} = (0, f(n+m-1, 1) + \sum_{p < n+m-1, q} a_{p,q} f(p, q)),$$

where $a_{p,q}$ are integers.

The lemma is proved by induction on n . A straightforward calculation gives

$$\delta y^m = (m, mg(y) + f(1, 1) + f(2, 1) + \dots + f(m-1, 1)).$$

It follows that

$$\delta(y^{m-2}y^2) = (m, mg(y) + \sum_{p,q} c_{p,q} f(p, q))$$

where $p \leq m-2$, $q \leq 2$ and the $c_{p,q}$ are some coefficients whose value is of no importance. Thus $\delta(y^m, y, y)$ is of the form

$$(0, f(m-1, 1) + \sum_{p < m-1, q} a_{p,q} f(p, q))$$

and the lemma is satisfied for $n = 0$.

Now, for arbitrary positive n we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (y^m, y, \dots, y)_{\underbrace{1,1,\dots,1}_n} \\ &= \left((y^m, y, \dots, y)_{\underbrace{1,1,\dots,1}_{n-1}} (y, y, \dots, y)_{\underbrace{1,1,\dots,1}_{n-1}} \right) \backslash (y^{m+1}, y, \dots, y)_{\underbrace{1,1,\dots,1}_{n-1}} \end{aligned}$$

and it only remains to apply δ to both sides and use the induction assumption. $\square$

Higman [3] has proved that the terms of the lower central series of a free loop intersect in the identity. We have no proof of a similar statement for the commutator-associator filtration; in view of Theorem 1, Higman's result is not sufficient to establish it.

Theorem 1 reflects a fundamental difference between the lower central series and the commutator-associator filtration. In the proof of Theorem 1 we have, in fact, established that the quotient $\gamma_2 F / \gamma_3 F$ is not finitely generated. On the other hand, we have the following

Theorem 2. *For any finitely generated loop L the abelian groups L_i / L_{i+1} are finitely generated for all $i > 0$.*

Proof. For $i = 1$ the theorem is clearly true: L_1 / L_2 is generated by the classes of the generators of L . Assume that for all $k < n$ the group L_k / L_{k+1} has a finite set of generators $a_{k,\alpha}$ with α in some finite index set. Consider a commutator $[x, y]$ with x in L_p and y in L_{n-p} . The class of $[x, y]$ in L_n / L_{n+1} only depends on the classes of x and y in L_p / L_{p+1} and L_p / L_{n-p+1} respectively. As the commutator is bilinear on $\oplus L_i / L_{i+1}$, the class of $[x, y]$ is a linear combination of elements of the form $[a_{p,\alpha}, a_{n-p,\beta}]$. Similarly, all classes of associators and deviations can be expressed as linear combinations of associators and deviations of a finite number of elements. Hence, L_n / L_{n+1} is finitely generated. $\square$

Further comments. In general, the lower central series of loops is difficult to treat. There is one notable exception, namely, the theory of associators in commutative Moufang loops which is analogous to a large extent to the theory of commutators in groups, see [1]. There is also another filtration, called distributor series, on commutative Moufang loops. In general, neither of these two filtrations coincides with the commutator-associator filtration. We note that the deviations first appeared in the nilpotency theory of commutative Moufang loops as the operations that produce the distributor series.

There exists a filtration on any group that is very closely related to the lower central series, namely, the filtration by dimension subgroups. In particular, the graded abelian groups associated to both filtrations become isomorphic after tensoring with the field of rational numbers. A detailed comparison of the lower central series and the dimension subgroups can be found in [2]. The dimension filtration can be generalized to loops; it would be interesting to compare it with the commutator-associator filtration.

The constructions of this note can be carried out in greater generality. The definitions of the commutator, the associator and the deviations of all levels only involve left division and, therefore, make sense in any left halfquasigroup. In particular, the commutator-associator filtration can be defined for left loops.

Acknowledgment. I would like to thank Liudmila Sabinina for many discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. H. Bruck, *Survey of Binary Systems*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg 1958.
- [2] B. Hartley, *Topics in the theory of nilpotent groups*, in: *Group theory: essays for Philip Hall*. Academic Press, London, 1984.
- [3] G. Higman, *The lower central series of a free loop*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2), **14** (1963), 131–140.
- [4] W. Magnus, A. Karrass and D. Solitar, *Combinatorial Group Theory*, Second revised edition. Dover, New York, 1976.
- [5] P. Miheev and L. Sabinin, *Quasigroups and differential geometry*, Quasigroups and loops: theory and applications, 357–430, Heldermann, Berlin, 1990.
- [6] I. Shestakov and U. Umirbaev, *Free Akivis algebras, primitive elements, and hyperalgebras*, J. Algebra **250** (2002), no. 2, 533–548.

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICAS (UNIDAD CUERNAVACA), UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL AUTÓNOMA DE
MÉXICO, A.P. 273 ADMON. DE CORREOS # 3, C.P. 62251, CUERNAVACA, MORELOS, MEXICO
E-mail address: `jacob@matcuer.unam.mx`